

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/584,489		BASTIAANS ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	COURTNEY BROWN		1616	

All Participants:

(1) COURTNEY BROWN.

(2) John Covert.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

30 and 41

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Tarja Naukkarinen.

(4) _____.

Time: 3:00 pm

/COURTNEY BROWN/
Examiner, Art Unit 1616

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Authorization for an examiner's amendment of claims 30 and 41 was given by John Covert.

In the claims:

In claim 30, line 12, "(CH2)pR18" has been deleted.

In claim 30, line 12, --- (CH2)pR13 --- has been inserted after "or".

In claim 41, line 12, "(CH2)pR18" has been deleted.

In claim 41, line 12, --- (CH2)pR13 --- has been inserted after "or"..